



# **Development of an improved analytical method**





# Limitations of the current LC-GC-FID method MOAH vs. Biogenic Components







- in case of disturbances due to matrix components, an additional purification step is necessary
- after epoxidation a "hump" remains for certain samples
- no MOAH, but not epoxidized, biogenic substances

-> "Hump" is assigned to the MOAH by laboratories (false-positive)

-> significant consequences for raw material suppliers and food companies

-> Limit of quantification has to be raised



# **Toxicological Considerations**



- according to the BfR contamination of food with MOAH should be avoided (potentially cancerogenic)
- EFSA: carcinogenic potential correlates with increasing number of aromatic ring systems

#### EFSA Journal 2012; 10(6): 2704

"MOAH with three or more, non- or simple alkylated, aromatic rings may be mutagenic and carcinogenic and therefore of potential concern."

#### J Agric Food Chem 2018 Jul 11;66(27):6968-6974

"MOAH of at least 3 (conjugated) aromatic rings may include genotoxic constitutents. For this reason, it seems important to distinguish between MOAH of 1-2 and more aromatic rings."

**Rapid risk assessment, EFSA, 15.11.2019**, doi:10.2903/sp.efsa.2019.EN-1741 "The potential human health impact of MOH varies widely. Mineral oil aromatic hydrocarbons (MOAH), in particular 3-7 ring MOAH, may act as genotoxic carcinogens, while some mineral oil saturated hydrocarbons (MOSH) can accumulate in human tissue and may caus adverse effects in the liver."

#### -> GCxGC-TOF-MS can identify occurrence of carcinogenic or mutagenic constituents

- -> separation of the condensed aromatics
- -> limitation due to substance dependent response -> calibration mixture not available



# **The Solution**



- GCxGC can separate the toxicological relevant constituents and is able to eliminate co-elution
- FID can quantify independent of structure





• **advantages**: comprehensive separation efficiency

non-selective detector





## **Choices**



two main strategies are used within the GCxGC community



#### Reverse Setup





# **Normal Setup**





6



# **Reverse Setup**





7



#### sensitivity

- consider and if possible eliminate the blank
- in case of reverse setup: are the n-alkanes still valid as fraction markers?
  What is the influence of the polar first dimension?
- comparability to LC-GC-FID (How to handle sharp peaks on the hump?)
- ensure that no discrimination occurs (ratio n-C10/n-C20 and n-C50/n-C20 not less than 80 %)

Difficulties











Sensititvity



• Minimum amount of MOH for the FID to be detectable circa **25 ng absolute** 



contour plot of 20 ng MOAH absolute after blank subtraction



# **Sensitivity**



- different techniques to achieve the needed sensitivity:
  - concentration of sample prior to injection
  - variety of different injection techniques:
    - splitless injection
    - large volume injection via PTV (MMI or Optic injector)
    - large volume on column injection
    - retention gap technique using SVE











- humps are detected with non selective detector  $\rightarrow$  blank can lead to incorrect quantification
- is depending on choice of columns
- normal setup is in advantage due to available low bleeding MXT-1 steel capillary columns
- for reverse setup high temperature polar columns often higher column bleeding
- choice has to be carefully made



Blank GCxGC-FID



• Example for two different polar columns in the first dimension:









Column 1













## Influence of Polar Column LC-GC-FID



- **Question:** What is the effect of polar first dimension on first dimension retention time of MOAH?
- mix of alkylated aromatic compounds was measured by LC-GC-FID to determine MOAH fraction they belong to and relative retention time





# Influence of Polar Column GCxGC-FID



- experiment repeated on GCxGC-FID:
- n-alkanes define start of fraction at upper part of contour plot
- shift of polar compounds observed
- 1 9,10 Dihydroanthracene
- 2 1-Methylfluorene
- 3 1-Methylphenanthrene + 1-Methylanthracene
- 4 3,6 Dimethylphenanthrene + 2-Ethylanthracene
- 5 2-Methylfluoranthene
- 6 9,10 Dimethylanthracene
- 7 1-Methylpyrene





# **Influence of Polar Column**



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20



Naphthalene Acenaphtylene Acenaphthene Fluorene Phenanthrene Anthracene Fluoranthene Pyrene Benzanthracen Chrysen Benzo(b)fluoranthen Benzo(k)fluoranthen Benzo(a)pyren Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyren Dibenz(a,h)anthracen Benzo(g,h,i)perylen Dibenzo(a,e)pyren Dibenzo(a,i)pyren Dibenzo(a,h)pyren Dibenzo(a,l)pyren



# Peak subtraction





 DIN EN 16995 demands all sharp peaks on top of the hump have to be subtracted for quantification of MOSH and MOAH



# Peak subtraction GCxGC-FID



#### Software assisted handling of sharp peaks on to of the hump available







- Marco Nestola improved the automated epoxidation using performic acid
- robust technique, applicable in automated or manual mode
- improved removal of biogenic interferences in MOAH fraction compared to current epoxidation methods using m-CPBA (in ethanol or dichloromethane)
- less interferences from epoxidising agent

 $\rightarrow$  in combination with GCxGC-FID a further tool to lower the LOQ for interfered samples

$$H + H_2O_2 = H + H_2O_1 + H_2O_2$$



## New Epoxidation Technique Strongly Interfered Palm Olein



#### Epoxidation using performic acid



Masses: XIC(105±0.5)

Epoxidation using m-CPBA







LC-GC-FID





- GCxGC-FID good tool to overcome current problems of LC-GC-FID technique
- applicable especially for fat/oil samples and to asses toxicological relevance of MOAH fraction
- different techniques to achieve needed sensitivity
- blank can be minimized
- n-alkanes invalid as fraction markers for the complete contour plot (find the right angle)
- discrimination and sharp peaks on the hump can be handled

(Bauwens, Panto, Purcaro, J Chrom. A 1643 (2021) 462044)



**Acknowledgements** 



## Thanks to:

- Axel Semrau scientific discussions and cooperation on the new Nestola epoxidation technique
- **LECO** software and technical support
- Michael Koch (Institut Kirchhoff Berlin part of Mérieux NutriSciences)

the work I am honoured to present









#### Institut Kirchhoff Berlin GmbH

Oudenarder Straße 16 / Carrée Seestraße 13347 Berlin Tel.: +49 (0) 30/457 98 93-143 susanne.kuehn@mxns.com www.institut-kirchhoff.de